

Funded by the ERASMUS+ Programme of the European Commission Thematic Working Group 19 Mathematics Teaching and Teacher Practice



Pre-service teachers' experiences in selecting and proposing challenging tasks in secondary classrooms

Leonor Santos, Hélia Oliveira,

João Pedro da Ponte, Ana Henriques

Instituto de Educação, Universidade de Lisboa

Portugal





Acknowledgments

 This project, entitled "Enhancing Differentiated Instruction and Cognitive Activation in Mathematics Lessons by Supporting Teacher Learning (EDUCATE)", has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author(s), and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.





EDUCATE Project

- EDUCATE Enhancing Differentiated Instruction and Cognitive Activation in Mathematics Lessons by Supporting Teacher Learning
- Funded by Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union: 2017 – 2020









An Associated College of Trinity College Dublin, the University of Dublin







Santos, Oliveira, Ponte, & Henriques



EDUCATE Project

- EDUCATE Enhancing Differentiated Instruction and Cognitive Activation in Mathematics Lessons by Supporting Teacher Learning
- Elaboration of modules for teacher education (in-service and pre-serviceprospective teachers), supported by previous studies







Objective and research questions

To understand what happens when pre-service teachers (PTs) are encouraged to propose challenging tasks in the classroom

- ✓ What is the nature of the tasks selected, adapted or designed by the PTs?
- ✓ What are the main challenges that PTs face when they propose and enact challenging tasks?







Theoretical framework

- Challenging tasks
- Challenging tasks are very important to foster mathematics learning, promoting high level thinking (Christiansen, & Walther, 1986; NCTM, 2014; Shimizu *et al.*, 2010)
- Different conceptualizations by authors and teachers (Foster & Inglis, 2017)
- Taxonomy of tasks (Stein & Smith, 1998) levels of demands







Theoretical framework

- The enactment of challenging tasks
- Demands a certain teaching practice, requires lesson structures that supports a studentcentred approach, and values classroom discourse (Ponte *et al.*, 2017; Russo & Hopkins, 2017; Sullivan & Mornane, 2014)
- To pose adequate questions, to sequence the questions, to provide enabling prompts (Mata-Pereira & Ponte, 2017; Sullivan et al., 2015)







Methodology

- Interpretative study (Creswell, 2012)
- Participants: Marta and Madalena, two prospective teachers selected by contrasting criteria:
- Marta is 22 years old, graduated in Applied Mathematics and Computation, teaches in a private school, 12th grade class (age 17) with 13 students interested and motivated for studying, good achievement in mathematics.
- Magdalena is 24 years old, graduated in Applied Mathematics, teaches in a private school, 10th grade class (age 15) with 17 students, heterogeneous regarding their school achievement.







Methodology

- Data collection
- Observation of 2 lessons, video recorded
- Interview, Pre- and post-lesson reflections, audio recorded
- Document analysis of the post-lesson written reflections and lesson plans
- Analysis of data
- Content analysis pre-defined categories for the nature of tasks (Stein & Smith, 1998) and post-defined categories for challenges of PTs.







The nature of tasks

PTs were invited to select challenging tasks

Task 2 - Marta (P) Tasks 1a and 2 – Magdalena (P)	Procedures with connections	Requires "some degree of cognitive effort. Although general procedures may be followed, they cannot be followed mindlessly".
Task 1 - Marta	Doing	Requires "students to access relevant
Task 1b – Magdalena (P)	Mathematics	appropriate use of them in working through the task" and "considerable cognitive effort".

The perspectives of the PTs about the tasks are similar to our analysis.







Challenges of the PTs

- Planning: To obtain challenging tasks; to perceive the level of difficulty for the students
- In classroom:
- Autonomous work To decide which is an adequate intervention
- Whole-class discussion To sequence students' work
- Time management (autonomous work and whole-class discussion)
- Analysis of data







Conclusions

- The pre-service teachers were able to answer the request made, but faced diverse challenges, most of them similar with those of in-service teachers (Foster & Inglis, 2017; Ponte & Quaresma, 2016; Russo & Hopkins, 2017)
 - > Why are the type of challenges so common?
 - How a context of a Master of Teaching degree may explain the similarities found?
 - How the demands of challenging tasks to practice can be overcome?



