Key Action 2 – Erasmus+ Strategic Partnerships for School Education Cooperation for Innovation and the Exchange of Good Practices

#### ENHANCING DIFFERENTIATED INSTRUCTION AND COGNITIVE ACTIVATION IN MATHEMATICS LESSONS BY SUPPORTING TEACHER LEARNING (EDUCATE)

**Educational Studies Association of Ireland** 

6 April , 2018

#### IRISH TEACHERS' NEEDS AND CHALLENGES WITH DIFFERENTIATION AND COGNITIVE DEMAND IN MATHEMATICS

Seán Delaney, Damien Burke, Ann Marie Gurhy & Mark Prendergast



Funded by the ERASMUS+ Programme of the European Union



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

 This project, entitled "Enhancing Differentiated Instruction and Cognitive Activation in Mathematics Lessons by Supporting Teacher Learning (EDUCATE)", has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author(s), and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



# **Values and Purpose in Education**

#### **Pursue Excellence**





#### **Promote Equity**

# **Policy Context of Study**

### Literacy and Numeracy Strategy





(Project) Maths New Junior Cert Framework Consultation process on draft new mathematics curriculum (junior classes)

### Background









### **Research Questions**

- What practices related to differentiation and cognitive activation were identified by and observed in mathematics lessons taught by a small, convenience sample of Irish teachers?
- What challenges were identified or observed in teaching such lessons?
- What would help these teachers teach mathematics lessons in which instruction was differentiated for all students and where the cognitive demand for all students was high?

# **Participants**

Teacher	Level	Pre-service /Inservice	Experience or Qualifications	School
Mary	Primary (1 <sup>st</sup> class)	In-service	10+ years. Co-authored math textbook	All girls; 450 students; Dublin; not serving disadv area
Alan	Primary (5 <sup>th</sup> & 6 <sup>th</sup> )	In service	NQT. Particularly interested in math teaching	All boys; 140 students; small town; not serving disadv area
Paul	Primary (1 <sup>st</sup> class)	In-service	11 years. Started M.Ed. In Sept; did leadership course	All girls; 438 students; large town; mixed SES & Ethnicity
Clare	Primary (4 <sup>th</sup> class)	In-service	Fourth year teaching. Completed M.Ed. degree	All girls; 438 students; large town; mixed SES & Ethnicity
Conall	Primary (5 <sup>th</sup> class)	In-service	2 <sup>nd</sup> year teaching.	Co-educational; 239 students; large town; not serving disadv area
Susan	Primary (2 <sup>nd</sup> class)	In-service	NQT	All girls; 220 students; Dublin; Servicing disadv area
Eoin	Primary (1 <sup>st</sup> class)	In-service	NQT	All girls; 220 students; Dublin; Servicing disadv area
Carol	Secondary (1 <sup>st</sup> Year)	In-service	3 <sup>rd</sup> year teaching	All boys; 450 students; Dublin, private school
Lynne	Secondary (2 <sup>nd</sup> Year)	Pre-service	In Year 2 of PME programme	Co-educational; 350 students; Dublin; private school

### **Data Collection**

- 2 Lessons each
- Lessons videotaped
- Teacher wore lavalier microphone
- Interviews before and after each lesson, with common interview protocols

# **Data Analysis**

- Each lesson analysed in depth.
- Key tasks in lessons identified and analysed using Task Analysis Guide (Stein, Smith, Henningsen, & Silver, 2000)
- Differentiation practices content, process, product (Stradling & Saunders, 1993; NCCA, 2007)



\* High-level tasks: Doing Mathematics (DM) and Procedures with Connections (PWC)
\*\* Low-level tasks: Procedures without Connections (PWOC), Memorization (M), and Unsystematic Exploration (UE) (UE applies only to task enactment).

### Methodology for Analyzing the Lessons to Identify Instances of Differentiation

#### • Content:

- Are different students given different tasks/variations of the same task to work on?
- How is the task presented? (are students offered multiple entry points?)
- **Process**:
  - Are different students given different *materials* to work on?
  - Attention/support: Does the teacher attends/supports students in different ways?
  - Does the teacher pose different types of *questions* to engage more students?
  - Student organization: do students work individually or in groups?
  - Level of autonomy: Are students given choice?
  - Does the *feedback* given differ by students?
  - Do assessment activities differ by students?
  - *Lesson pacing*: Is there variation among students in terms of the time given to complete the work?
  - Classroom norms: can students share/discuss solutions? Does the teacher adopt an evaluative stance that "closes" the discussion?
  - Levels of participation in the discourse: teacher encourages students of different levels to participate (not necessarily share their solutions)
- **Product**:
  - Types of products expected from students: do they differ?
  - Multiple solutions: Does the teacher encourage multiple solutions/multiple presentation of solutions?
  - Sharing/discussing solutions: Are different solutions shared? Are the best solutions shared only?

#### (Stradling & Saunders, 1993; NCCA, 2007)

# **Example of Data Collection**

**Task:** The picture shows a CT scan of the brain of a patient with a tumour. Find an approximation of the area the tumour (highlighted in yellow).



Show video clip from 14.30 - 16.30

# **Findings: Differentiation**

Differentiation is a **bigger priority** for teachers on a daily basis than is raising cognitive demand.

- Strategies for differentiation varied according to:
  - Context
  - Time
  - Resources (staffing, materials)
  - Image of teaching ("It never struck me that there was another way of doing it")

# **Strategies Used for Differentiation**

#### **Differentiation by:**

- Grouping
- Expectation
- Questioning
- Problem type
- Plenary discussions

### **Discussion & Conclusions: Differentiation**

### Multiple ways of differentiation:

 By expectation, questioning, varying level of difficulty, having plenary discussions, using concrete materials

#### Grouping practices varied:

 Possible parental opposition; prompts, remediation & extension from circulating teacher

#### • Support from colleagues or assistants:

- Helped when available
- Images of teaching:
  - Make models of differentiated teaching available to teachers as inspiration for change
- Resource bank would help

### **Results: Challenges of Cognitive Activation**

- Awareness and sensitivity: lagging behind differentiation
- Task Availability: textbooks, assigned curriculum content and standardized testing restrict teachers
- Language: Students need access to formal/advanced mathematical language to communicate and build precision
- Challenging tasks challenge: students may rely on previous (less efficient strategies) or may give up

### **Results: Supports for Cognitive Activation**

- Having a range of textbooks\* with suitable tasks (low threshold, high ceiling)
- Checklists or ways to quickly profile student knowledge & readiness and match to task
- Create a challenge-rich classroom environment (expect multiple solution strategies, respectfully critique peers' work, exploiting class polls of agreement/disagreement)
- Teachers' receptiveness to student questions and ideas is critical for maintaining/raising task cognitive demand
- Topic: Cognitive activation potential of some topics may be richer than others

## **Overall Learnings: Cognitive Demand &** Differentiation

- **Teacher Priority:** possible role of our project
- Teacher's needs:
  - Assessing the task: Expertise needed to accurately ascertain this
  - Assessing the students: Need to quickly determine which students have necessary prior related knowledge for task
  - Logistical supports: Suitable tasks/concrete tools/profiling tools/ collegiate in-class support
- Teacher (mathematical) knowledge: support needed for teachers to anticipate and engage with students' ideas
- **Time and Resources:** Demanding; possible case studies of practice
- Forum: Where/how can teachers communicate with each other on such matters?

# Thanks

 A word of thanks to all the teachers and student teachers who volunteered to participate in the study to date.

# Thank you for your attention!

#### **Contact details:**

Website: http://www.ucy.ac.cy/educate/en/

Email: <a href="mailto:sean.delaney@mie.ie">sean.delaney@mie.ie</a>



# **Sources of Images**

- <u>https://www.pdhpe.net/wp-</u> content/uploads/2016/09/equity.png
- <u>http://www.balendu.com/content.aspx?id=1303170</u> <u>14704010600</u>
- <u>https://www.sthenosathletics.com/programs.html</u>
- <u>https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0011EMVOC//ref=c</u> <u>m\_sw\_su\_dp?tag=chalit-20</u>
- <u>http://www.escapefitness.com/uk/studio-kettlebells.html</u>
- http://bernard.thinsselin.free.fr/images/roberval.jpg